

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,915	06/27/2003	Yoshihiro Kobayashi	TJK/395	8119
27717 SEYFARTH SI	7590 05/08/200 HAW LLP	7	EXAMINER	
131 S. DEARB	ORN ST., SUITE2400	LIN, JAMES		
CHICAGO, IL	60603-5803		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/607,915	KOBAYASHI, YOSHIHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jimmy Lin	1762		

	Jimmy Lin	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	:		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12.17 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a Nodes sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessaring. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See attached sheet. 12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s) 		1 condition for allowal	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/56/06) Paper No(s).		

Art Unit: 1762

Box 11:

Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claims 12, 17, and 18 as rejected over Sarnecki '252, Towns '711, and Park '298:

The Applicant argues on pg. 2 that the reverse roll coating, meniscus coating, and coating/transfer coating methods are listed by Towns as examples of conventional coating techniques, i.e., those using high viscosity inks. However, this argument is not convincing because Towns never mentions anything about these methods using high viscosity inks. Additionally, Towns teaches that the viscosity-modified solution can be deposited by *the desired coating technique* (col. 7, lines 7-11). Although only spin coating, blade coating and ink-jet printing are exemplified in those cited lines, Towns previously teaches that reverse roll coating, meniscus coating, and coating/transfer coating methods are suitable coating techniques for solution-based processing. One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that the previously taught coating methods would have been included in "the desired coating technique".

The Applicant argues on pg. 2 that the ink viscosity of Towns ranging from 1 cP to 200 cP only refers to the ink used in the ink jet method to form a desirable thickness of the film which cannot be formed with conventional high viscosity inks. However, the ink jet method is only an example of the difficulties associated with high viscosity solution coating. Towns does not limit the coating method to only ink jet printing.

The Applicant notes that Towns is incorporated in Sarnecki by reference, but argues on pg. 2 that only the method for adjusting ink viscosity of Towns is incorporated in Sarnecki and not the ink viscosity range of Towns. However, this argument is unconvincing because the entire Towns reference is incorporated by reference.

The Applicant argues on pg. 3 that the significance of the viscosity ranges can be understood from Examples 1-3 and Comparative Examples 1-2 in the present specification. However, the claims are not limited to the specific process parameters and specific materials used in the examples.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-8902. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL

KETTH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER